Coherent $3j$-symbol representation for the loop quantum gravity intertwiner space

E. Alesci[1], J. Lewandowski[2] and I. Mäkinen[2]

[1]SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, 34126 Trieste, Italy and INFN Sez. Trieste.
[2]Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, ul. Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

We introduce a new technique for dealing with the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian operator in loop quantum gravity, based on the use of intertwiners projected on coherent states of angular momentum. We give explicit expressions for the projections of intertwiners on the spin coherent states in terms of complex numbers describing the unit vectors which label the coherent states. Operators such as the Hamiltonian can then be reformulated as differential operators acting on polynomials of these complex numbers. This makes it possible to describe the action of the Hamiltonian geometrically, in terms of the unit vectors originating from the angular momentum coherent states, and opens up a way towards investigating the semiclassical limit of the dynamics via asymptotic approximation methods.

arXiv:1606.06561v1 [gr-qc] 21 Jun 2016

1 Introduction

A new Hamiltonian operator for loop quantum gravity [1–3] has been introduced in [4,5], and some simple matrix elements of the operator have been computed using $SU(2)$ recoupling theory techniques. In this work we propose an alternative method for dealing with such computations, based on intertwiners projected on angular momentum coherent states, in order to overcome the difficulties involved in the use of recoupling theory (see for example [6, 7]).

The use of $SU(2)$ coherent states was first introduced in loop quantum gravity in the context of spinfoam models (see [8] for a review) with the so called Livine-Speziale coherent intertwiners, introduced in [9], studied further in [10–13], and used to compute the asymptotics of the EPRL spin foam model in [14]. In particular, in [10] the relation between coherent intertwiners and the quantum geometry of a tetrahedron was made explicit by establishing an isomorphism between the four-valent coherent intertwiner and the quantization of the reduced phase space of tetrahedra. These results were further extended to the case of n-valent intertwiners with the tools of holomorphic quantization in [11]. Further work on intertwiners in the holomorphic representation can be found in [15, 16].

The main purpose of the present work is to introduce a way of applying the angular momentum coherent states to computations in canonical loop quantum gravity. We achieve this by rewriting the standard spin network states in terms of representation matrices and intertwiners projected onto the basis of angular momentum coherent states. On the technical level, we will be therefore interested in the object

$$\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N} = \langle \iota | j_1\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N\xi_N\rangle, \tag{1.1}$$

where $|\iota\rangle \in \mathrm{Inv}\left(\mathcal{H}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j_N}\right)$ is an intertwiner in a standard recoupling theory basis, and $|j\xi\rangle$ are the coherent states of angular momentum, parametrized in terms of

a complex number ξ encoding the polar angles of a unit vector $\vec{n}$. The significance of (1.1), which we evaluate explicitly in the case of three- and four-valent intertwiners, is demonstrated by the following three interpretations of the object $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$:

- By definition, $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$ gives (the complex conjugate of) the projection of the intertwiner $|\iota\rangle$ onto the coherent state $|j_1\xi_1\otimes\cdots\otimes j_N\xi_N\rangle$ of the space $\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_N}$.
- The components of the Livine–Speziale coherent intertwiner labeled by the vectors $\vec{n}_{\xi_1},\ldots,\vec{n}_{\xi_N}$ with respect to a standard orthonormal basis $\{|\iota^{(k)}\rangle\}$ of the intertwiner space $\mathrm{Inv}\,(\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_N})$ are given by the numbers $\iota^{(k)}_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$.
- Finally, from the explicit expression of $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$ one can extract a representation of the intertwiner $|\iota\rangle$ as a polynomial[1] of the complex variables $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_N$. Furthermore, the standard operators of loop quantum gravity, in particular the Hamiltonian, can be reformulated as differential operators acting on this polynomial.

The main motivation for our work is that by reformulating our calculations in the language of the complex numbers ξ_a (as opposed to the language of $SU(2)$ recoupling theory), we will be able express the action of the Hamiltonian on spin network states in a more transparent, geometrical form in terms of the unit vectors $\vec{n}_a$ corresponding to the complex numbers ξ_a. This will hopefully open up a way to investigate the geometrical content and the semiclassical properties of the Hamiltonian e.g. by use of saddle point techniques. However, the purpose of the present paper is merely to lay down the framework for carrying out such computations. In particular, obtaining a systematic understanding of the geometrical structure of the Hamiltonian in this framework is a question left for future work.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the standard construction of intertwiners in loop quantum gravity, and the coherent states of angular momentum, and use these coherent states to rewrite the standard spin network states of LQG in terms of intertwiners projected on the angular momentum coherent states (i.e. $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$). In section 3, we derive explicit expressions for $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$ in the case of three- and four-valent intertwiners, both in terms of the complex numbers ξ_a and the corresponding unit vectors $\vec{n}_a$. In section 4 we recall the representation of $SU(2)$ in a space of complex polynomials, and show how the N-valent intertwiner $|\iota\rangle$ can represented as a polynomial of N complex variables $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_N$. In section 5, we give an example of applying the techniques developed in sections 2–4 in a concrete calculation. We then close the paper by reporting our conclusions and plans for future work.

2 Intertwiners and angular momentum coherent states

2.1 Spin networks and intertwiners in loop quantum gravity

In loop quantum gravity [1–3], an important role is played by the rotationally invariant subspace of the tensor product $\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_N}$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between elements of this space, which is often denoted by $\mathrm{Inv}\,(\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_N})$, and intertwiners, i.e. invariant tensors of $\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_N}$. The Hilbert space of gauge-invariant

[1]This is based on the fact that the spin-j representation of $SU(2)$ can be realized on the space of polynomials of degree $2j$ of a single complex variable.

states in loop quantum gravity is spanned by the so-called spin network states. A spin network state is labeled by a graph Γ (oriented, embedded in the three-dimensional spatial manifold Σ), a spin quantum number j_e for each edge e of Γ, and an intertwiner $\iota_n \in \mathrm{Inv}\left(\bigotimes_{e\in n} \mathcal{H}_{j_e}\right)$ for each node of Γ, where $\{e\}_{e\in n}$ denotes the set of edges incident on the node n. Explicitly, the wave function of a spin network state is given by

$$\Psi_{\Gamma,\{j_e\},\{\iota_n\}}\big(h_{e_1}[A],\dots,h_{e_{N_e}}[A]\big) = \left(\prod_{e\in\Gamma} \sqrt{d_{j_e}} D^{(j_e)}_{m_e n_e}\big(h_e[A]\big)\right)\left(\prod_{n\in\Gamma} \iota_n\right)_{m_1\cdots m_{N_e};n_1\cdots n_{N_e}} \tag{2.1}$$

Here N_e is the number of edges of the graph Γ, $h_e[A] \in SU(2)$ is the holonomy of the Ashtekar connection along the edge e, and $D^{(j)}_{mn}(h)$ is the representation matrix of h in the spin-j representation of $SU(2)$. The dimension of the representation is denoted by $d_j = 2j+1$. The pattern of contraction of the magnetic indices is dictated by the structure of the graph.

A basic example of an intertwiner space is $\mathrm{Inv}\left(\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_2}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_3}\right)$, the space of three-valent intertwiners. This space is one-dimensional, provided that the spins j_1, j_2 and j_3 satisfy the triangular inequality, and is spanned by the state $|\Psi_0\rangle$, the unique rotationally invariant state of the three spins. The components of this invariant state with respect to the standard basis of $\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_2}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_3}$ are given by the Wigner $3j$-symbol[2]:

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_{m_1 m_2 m_3} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} |j_1 m_1\rangle |j_2 m_2\rangle |j_3 m_3\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

The components of the three-valent intertwiner are therefore given by

$$\iota^{(j_1 j_2 j_3)}_{m_1 m_2 m_3} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.3}$$

and invariance of $\iota^{(j_1 j_2 j_3)}_{m_1 m_2 m_3}$ under $SU(2)$ follows from the rotational invariance of the state $|\Psi_0\rangle$. Intertwiners of higher valence can be constructed by contracting three-valent intertwiners in a rotationally invariant way, using the tensors $\epsilon^{(j)}_{mn}$ and $\epsilon^{(j)mn}$, both of whose components are defined to be equal to $(-1)^{j-m}\delta_{m,-n}$ with respect to the basis $\{|jm\rangle\}$. For example, the objects

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^{(k)}_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} &= \iota^{(j_1 j_2 k)}_{m_1 m_2 \mu} \epsilon^{(k)\mu\nu} \iota^{(k j_3 j_4)}_{\nu m_3 m_4} \\ &= \sqrt{d_k} \sum_n \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & k \\ m_1 & m_2 & n \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{k-n} \begin{pmatrix} k & j_3 & j_4 \\ -n & m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

provide an orthonormal basis of the four-valent intertwiner space $\mathrm{Inv}\left(\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_2}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_3}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_4}\right)$, when the intermediate spin k goes through all values compatible with the triangular inequalities.

2.2 Angular momentum coherent states

In this section we will recall some properties of the angular momentum coherent states [18, 19], which will be a central tool in our work. Coherent states of an angular momentum j can be obtained by starting with the state of lowest weight, $|j,-j\rangle$, and

[2] In angular momentum theory, we follow the conventions of [17]. In particular, we use the Condon–Shortley phase convention for the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients.

applying a $SU(2)$ rotation which rotates the vector $\hat{e}_z = (0,0,1)$ into the vector $\vec{n} = (\sin\theta\,\cos\phi, \sin\theta\,\sin\phi, \cos\theta)$. The resulting state is denoted by

$$|j\vec{n}\rangle = D^{(j)}(\vec{n})|j,-j\rangle. \tag{2.5}$$

The requirement of rotating the vector $\hat{e}_z$ into $\vec{n}$ does not uniquely determine the group element $g(\vec{n})$. In order to fix the ambiguity and obtain a unique $g(\vec{n})$, one typically specifies that the rotation is performed around an axis in the xy-plane. With this choice, the group element effecting the rotation is unique, and is given by

$$g(\vec{n}) = e^{-i\theta\vec{m}\cdot\vec{J}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta/2 & -e^{-i\phi}\sin\theta/2 \\ e^{i\phi}\sin\theta/2 & \cos\theta/2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\vec{m} = (-\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0)$ is a vector orthogonal to $\vec{n}$ and lying in the plane spanned by $\hat{e}_x$ and $\hat{e}_y$.

The states (2.5) form a basis of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_j$, as indicated by the resolution of identity

$$\mathbb{1} = d_j \int \frac{d^2n}{4\pi}\, |j\vec{n}\rangle\langle j\vec{n}|, \tag{2.7}$$

where $d^2n = \sin\theta\, d\theta\, d\phi$ is the standard measure on the unit sphere. The state $|j\vec{n}\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the operator $\vec{n}\cdot\vec{J}$ with eigenvalue $-j$, just as $|j,-j\rangle$ is an eigenstate of J_z with eigenvalue $-j$.

For large j, the state $|j\vec{n}\rangle$ has a semiclassical interpretation, describing an angular momentum oriented in the direction $-\vec{n}$, in the sense that the expectation value of the angular momentum in the state is given by $\langle j\vec{n}|\vec{J}|j\vec{n}\rangle = -j\vec{n}$, and the relative uncertainty $\Delta J/\langle J\rangle = \mathcal{O}(j^{-1/2})$ is small in the limit of large j. Another useful property of the states (2.5) is that the spin-j coherent state can be constructed as a simple tensor product of spin-1/2 coherent states:

$$|j\vec{n}\rangle = \underbrace{|\vec{n}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\vec{n}\rangle}_{2j \text{ times}} \tag{2.8}$$

where $|\vec{n}\rangle \equiv |\frac{1}{2},\vec{n}\rangle$ denotes the coherent state in the spin-1/2 representation.

For practical purposes, it is convenient to introduce the complex parameter

$$\xi = -e^{-i\phi}\tan\frac{\theta}{2}, \tag{2.9}$$

which encodes the angles of the vector $\vec{n}$ and can therefore be used instead of $\vec{n}$ to label the coherent states (2.5). Using the decomposition of a $SU(2)$ group element given by Eq. (A.4) of Appendix A, we find that we can write the group element (2.6) in terms of ξ as

$$g(\xi) = e^{\xi J_+} e^{\ln(1+|\xi|^2)J_0} e^{-\bar{\xi}J_-}. \tag{2.10}$$

The explicit matrix form of this group element reads

$$g(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi \\ -\bar{\xi} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.11}$$

By applying (2.10) to the state $|j,-j\rangle$, we obtain the expression

$$|j\xi\rangle = \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^j} e^{\xi J_+}|j,-j\rangle \tag{2.12}$$

for the coherent state (2.5). Furthermore, in terms of ξ the resolution of identity (2.7) takes the form

$$\mathbb{1} = \int d\mu_j(\xi)\, |j\xi\rangle\langle j\xi|, \tag{2.13}$$

the measure being given by

$$d\mu_j(\xi) = \frac{d_j}{\pi}\frac{d^2\xi}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}. \tag{2.14}$$

Eqs. (2.12) and (2.11) are very useful in practical calculations. For example, using (2.11) together with (2.8), the scalar product between two coherent states is immediately calculated as

$$\langle j\xi|j\eta\rangle = \langle -|g^\dagger(\xi)g(\eta)|-\rangle^{2j} = \frac{(1+\bar\xi\eta)^{2j}}{(1+|\xi|^2)^j(1+|\eta|^2)^j}, \tag{2.15}$$

with $|-\rangle \equiv |\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ the state of lowest weight in the spin-1/2 representation. In terms of the vectors $\vec n$, one has

$$\langle j\vec m|j\vec n\rangle = e^{ij\alpha(\vec m,\vec n)}\left(\frac{1+\vec m\cdot\vec n}{2}\right)^j, \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$\alpha(\vec m,\vec n) = \ln\frac{1+\overline{\xi_{\vec m}}\xi_{\vec n}}{1+\xi_{\vec m}\overline{\xi_{\vec n}}} \tag{2.17}$$

is the (oriented) area of the spherical triangle spanned by the vectors $\hat e_z$, $\vec m$ and $\vec n$.

To give another example, let us compute the matrix elements of the angular momentum operator between the states $|j\xi\rangle$. In the calculation, it is more convenient to use the unnormalized states

$$|j\xi) = e^{\xi J_+}|j,-j\rangle, \tag{2.18}$$

for which we have

$$(j\xi|j\eta) = (1+\bar\xi\eta)^{2j}, \tag{2.19}$$

and from which the coherent states $|j\xi\rangle$ are obtained by multiplying with the appropriate normalization factor,

$$|j\xi\rangle = \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^j}|j\xi). \tag{2.20}$$

To find, for example, the matrix element of J_+, we consider

$$(j\xi|e^{\epsilon J_+}|j\eta) = (j\xi|e^{\epsilon J_+}e^{\eta J_+}|j,-j\rangle = (j\xi|j,\eta+\epsilon). \tag{2.21}$$

Expanding to linear order in ϵ, we get

$$(j\xi|J_+|j\eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(j\xi|j\eta) = 2j\bar\xi(1+\bar\xi\eta)^{2j-1}. \tag{2.22}$$

A similar calculation for J_- shows that

$$(j\xi|J_-|j\eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\xi}}(j\xi|j\eta) = 2j\eta(1+\bar{\xi}\eta)^{2j-1}. \tag{2.23}$$

Finding the matrix element of J_0 is less straightforward, but can be done by considering the identity $e^{\eta J_+}J_-e^{-\eta J_+}|j\eta) = 0$ [19]. Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, one obtains $(J_- + 2\eta J_0 - \eta^2 J_+)|j\eta) = 0$, from which it follows that

$$(\xi|J_0|\eta) = j(\bar{\xi}\eta - 1)(1+\bar{\xi}\eta)^{2j-1}. \tag{2.24}$$

Summarizing our findings, and rewriting them in terms of the normalized states $|j\xi\rangle$, we have

$$\langle j\xi|J_+|j\eta\rangle = 2j\bar{\xi}\frac{(1+\bar{\xi}\eta)^{2j-1}}{(1+|\xi|^2)^j(1+|\eta|^2)^j} \tag{2.25}$$

$$\langle j\xi|J_0|j\eta\rangle = j(\bar{\xi}\eta - 1)\frac{(1+\bar{\xi}\eta)^{2j-1}}{(1+|\xi|^2)^j(1+|\eta|^2)^j} \tag{2.26}$$

$$\langle j\xi|J_-|j\eta\rangle = 2j\eta\frac{(1+\bar{\xi}\eta)^{2j-1}}{(1+|\xi|^2)^j(1+|\eta|^2)^j}. \tag{2.27}$$

To conclude our discussion of the angular momentum coherent states, let us note some useful properties of the matrix $g(\xi)$. Firstly, the inverse matrix is obtained by inverting the sign of the parameter, i.e.

$$g^{-1}(\xi) = g(-\xi). \tag{2.28}$$

Secondly, if ξ is the parameter associated with the vector $\vec{n}$, then the parameter associated with the vector $-\vec{n}$ is $-1/\bar{\xi}$; thus

$$g(-\vec{n}) = g\big(-\bar{\xi}_{\vec{n}}^{-1}\big). \tag{2.29}$$

Furthermore, by using the explicit matrix representation (2.11), one can derive the composition law of the group elements $g(\xi)$. The result reads

$$g(\xi_1)g(\xi_2) = g(\xi_{12})e^{i\alpha_{12}\sigma_z/2}, \tag{2.30}$$

where

$$\xi_{12} = \frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{1-\bar{\xi}_1\xi_2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad e^{i\alpha_{12}/2} = \frac{1-\xi_1\bar{\xi}_2}{|1-\xi_1\bar{\xi}_2|}. \tag{2.31}$$

Note that here α_{12} is again the area of a spherical triangle, as given by Eq. (2.17).

2.3 Livine–Speziale coherent intertwiners

The spin coherent states described in the previous section can be used to construct an alternative basis of the intertwiner space $\mathrm{Inv}\,(\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_N})$. This basis is formed by the coherent intertwiners introduced by Livine and Speziale [9]. A coherent intertwiner is constructed by forming a tensor product of the spin coherent states (2.5), and making the resulting state rotationally invariant by applying a group averaging:

$$|j_1\cdots j_N;\vec{n}_1\cdots\vec{n}_N\rangle = \int dg\, D^{(j_1)}(g)|j_1\vec{n}_1\rangle\otimes\cdots\otimes D^{(j_N)}(g)|j_N\vec{n}_N\rangle. \tag{2.32}$$

The coherent intertwiners $|j_1 \cdots j_N; \vec{n}_1 \cdots \vec{n}_N\rangle$ provide an overcomplete basis in the space of N-valent intertwiners. Physically, the Livine–Speziale intertwiners were first recognized to represent semiclassical polyhedra in the same sense in which an ordinary intertwiner describes a quantum polyhedron [12,20–22], and the description of the kinematical states of LQG in terms of coherent intertwiners led to the concept of twisted geometries [13] (i.e. discrete geometries different from the usual Regge geometries, obtained by matching the normal vectors corresponding to faces of classical polyhedra, with shapes of the glued faces not necessarily matching.)

For the purposes of the present work, we are interested in how an N-valent coherent intertwiner is expanded in some standard basis of $\mathrm{Inv}\,(\mathcal{H}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j_N})$, for example one constructed by extending Eq. (2.4) to the N-valent case. To this end, consider

$$\langle j_1 m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N m_N | j_1 \cdots j_N; \vec{n}_1 \cdots \vec{n}_N\rangle = \int dg \prod_{a=1}^{N} \langle j_a m_a | D^{(j_a)}(g) D^{(j_a)}(\vec{n}_a) | j_a, -j_a\rangle. \tag{2.33}$$

On the right we can insert identity in the form $\mathbb{1} = \sum_{m_a} |j_a m_a\rangle\langle j_a m_a|$ between the two D-matrices. The resulting integral over the group is then given by[3]

$$\int dg\, D^{(j_1)}_{m_1 n_1}(g) \cdots D^{(j_N)}_{m_N n_N}(g) = \sum_{\iota} \iota_{m_1 \cdots m_N} \iota_{n_1 \cdots n_N}, \tag{2.34}$$

where the sum on the right runs through any real[4], orthonormal basis of the intertwiner space $\mathrm{Inv}\,(\mathcal{H}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j_N})$. From Eq. (2.33), we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle j_1 m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N m_N | j_1 \cdots j_N; \vec{n}_1 \cdots \vec{n}_N\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\iota} \Big(\sum_{n_1 \cdots n_N} D^{(j_1)}_{n_1, -j_1}(\vec{n}_1) \cdots D^{(j_N)}_{n_N, -j_N}(\vec{n}_N) \iota_{n_1 \cdots n_N} \Big) \iota_{m_1 \cdots m_N}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

From this we see that the decomposition of the coherent intertwiner in the basis $\{|\iota\rangle\}$ reads

$$|j_1 \cdots j_N; \vec{n}_1 \cdots \vec{n}_N\rangle = \sum_{\iota} c_{\vec{n}_1 \cdots \vec{n}_N}(\iota) |\iota\rangle, \tag{2.36}$$

where the projections of $|j_1 \cdots j_N; \vec{n}_1 \cdots \vec{n}_N\rangle$ onto the basis intertwiners are given by

$$c_{\vec{n}_1 \cdots \vec{n}_N}(\iota) = \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_N} \iota_{n_1 \cdots n_N} D^{(j_1)}_{n_1, -j_1}(\vec{n}_1) \cdots D^{(j_N)}_{n_N, -j_N}(\vec{n}_N). \tag{2.37}$$

2.4 Spin networks in the coherent-state basis

The starting point for our work in the remainder of this paper is to express the spin network state of Eq. (2.1) using the basis of angular momentum coherent states, as opposed to the standard basis of magnetic indices, which is used in Eq. (2.1). If one starts with the expression (2.1), but uses in each Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_j$ the resolution of

[3] To see that this equation is valid, note that both sides of the equation are a representation of the projection operator onto $\mathrm{Inv}\,(\mathcal{H}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j_N})$.

[4] In case the basis is not real, the intertwiner $\iota_{m_1 \cdots m_N}$ on the right-hand side should be complex conjugated.

identity $\mathbb{1} = \int d\mu_j(\xi)\, |j\xi\rangle\langle j\xi|$ instead of $\mathbb{1} = \sum_m |jm\rangle\langle jm|$, one obtains the following expression for the spin network $\Psi_{\Gamma,\{j_e\},\{\iota_n\}}$:

$$\Psi_{\Gamma,\{j_e\},\{\iota_n\}}\big(h_{e_1}[A],\ldots,h_{e_{N_e}}[A]\big) = \int d\mu_{j_1}(\xi_1)\, d\mu_{j_1}(\eta_1)\cdots d\mu_{j_{N_e}}(\xi_{N_e})\, d\mu_{j_{N_e}}(\eta_{N_e}) \times \Bigg(\prod_{e\in\Gamma} \sqrt{d_{j_e}} D^{(j_e)}_{\xi_e\eta_e}\big(h_e[A]\big)\Bigg)\Bigg(\prod_{n\in\Gamma} \iota_n\Bigg)_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_{N_e};\eta_1\cdots\eta_{N_e}}. \tag{2.38}$$

Here $D^{(j)}_{\xi\eta}(h)$ denotes the matrix elements of the Wigner matrix $D^{(j)}(h)$ with respect to the basis of coherent states, i.e.

$$D^{(j)}_{\xi\eta}(h) = \langle j\xi|D^{(j)}(h)|j\eta\rangle. \tag{2.39}$$

Similarly, the intertwiners in Eq. (2.38) are constructed by projecting each state $|\iota_n\rangle$ onto the coherent-state basis of the space $\bigotimes_{e\in n} \mathcal{H}_{j_{e_n}}$. A detailed discussion of the objects so obtained, $\langle j_1\xi_1 \otimes\cdots\otimes j_N\xi_N|\iota\rangle$, is the subject of the following section.

3 Intertwiners in the basis of spin coherent states

We will now consider in more detail the object

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N} &\equiv \langle \iota|j_1\xi_1\otimes\cdots\otimes j_N\xi_N\rangle \\ &= \iota_{m_1\cdots m_N} D^{(j_1)}_{m_1,-j_1}(\xi_1)\cdots D^{(j_N)}_{m_N,-j_N}(\xi_N),\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

which appears implicitly in Eq. (2.38), and which by definition is the (complex conjugate of) the component of the intertwiner $|\iota\rangle$ with respect to the coherent state basis $|j_1\xi_1\rangle\cdots|j_N\xi_N\rangle$ of $\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_N}$. Moreover, as shown by our discussion in section 2.3, $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$ is also the component of the Livine–Speziale intertwiner $|j_1\cdots j_N;\xi_1\cdots\xi_N\rangle$ with respect to the basis element $|\iota\rangle$ of the intertwiner space $\mathrm{Inv}\,(\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_N})$. A third interpretation of our result for $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$ will be discussed in section 4.

Below we will perform the evaluation of (3.1) explicitly in the case of three- and four-valent intertwiners.

3.1 The three-valent intertwiner

We start with the calculation of

$$\iota_{\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3\end{pmatrix} D^{(j_1)}_{m_1,-j_1}(\xi_1) D^{(j_2)}_{m_2,-j_2}(\xi_2) D^{(j_3)}_{m_3,-j_3}(\xi_3), \tag{3.2}$$

which is conveniently carried out by using the realization of the spin-j representation of $SU(2)$ as a symmetrized tensor product of $2j$ copies of the fundamental representation, as described in Appendix A.2. A magnetic index m in the spin-j representation corresponds to a symmetrized set of $2j$ spinor indices, $(A_1\cdots A_{2j})$. The components of the three-valent intertwiner in the magnetic basis are then given by

$$\iota_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j_1})(B_1\cdots B_{2j_2})(C_1\cdots C_{2j_3})} = N_{j_1j_2j_3} I_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j_1})(B_1\cdots B_{2j_2})(C_1\cdots C_{2j_3})}, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$I_{A_1\cdots A_{2j_1}B_1\cdots B_{2j_2}C_1\cdots C_{2j_3}} = \epsilon_{A_1B_1}\cdots\epsilon_{A_aB_a}\epsilon_{B_{a+1}C_1}\cdots\epsilon_{B_{a+b}C_b}\epsilon_{C_{b+1}A_{a+1}}\cdots\epsilon_{C_{b+c}A_{a+c}}, \tag{3.4}$$

and $a = j_1 + j_2 - j_3, b = j_2 + j_3 - j_1, c = j_3 + j_1 - j_2$, and the normalization factor $N_{j_1j_2j_3}$ is given by Eq. (A.12). The representation matrix $D^{(j)}_{mn}(g)$ has the form (A.8); in particular, when the second index takes its minimal value, as in Eq. (3.2), we have

$$D^{(j)}_{m,-j}(g) = D^{(j)}_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j})(-\ldots-)}(g) = g^{A_1}{}_{-}\cdots g^{A_{2j}}{}_{-}. \tag{3.5}$$

Using Eqs. (3.3) and (3.5) in Eq. (3.9), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = N_{j_1j_2j_3}(\epsilon_{\xi_1\xi_2})^{j_1+j_2-j_3}(\epsilon_{\xi_2\xi_3})^{j_2+j_3-j_1}(\epsilon_{\xi_3\xi_1})^{j_3+j_1-j_2}, \tag{3.6}$$

where we introduced the notation

$$\epsilon_{\xi\eta} = \epsilon_{AB}g^A{}_{-}(\xi)g^B{}_{-}(\eta). \tag{3.7}$$

Recalling Eq. (2.11), and choosing $\epsilon_{+-} = +1$, we get

$$\epsilon_{\xi\eta} = \frac{\xi - \eta}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}\sqrt{1+|\eta|^2}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore we find that the coherent components of the $3j$-symbol are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = N_{j_1j_2j_3}\frac{(\xi_1-\xi_2)^{j_1+j_2-j_3}(\xi_2-\xi_3)^{j_2+j_3-j_1}(\xi_3-\xi_1)^{j_3+j_1-j_2}}{(1+|\xi_1|^2)^{j_1}(1+|\xi_2|^2)^{j_2}(1+|\xi_3|^2)^{j_3}}. \tag{3.9}$$

An alternative derivation of this result, using the complex polynomial representation of $SU(2)$ (see Appendix 4.1) is reported in Appendix B.

3.2 Four-valent intertwiners

In order to calculate the components of the four-valent intertwiner in the coherent state basis, it is convenient to use the graphical representation given by Eq. (A.15), and reproduced below:

$$\iota^{(k)}_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j_1})\cdots(D_1\cdots D_{2j_4})} = \sqrt{d_k}N_{j_1j_2k}N_{kj_3j_4} \tag{3.10}$$



When $\iota^{(k)}_{m_1\cdots m_4}$ is contracted with $D^{(j_1)}_{m_1,-j_1}(\xi_1)\cdots D^{(j_4)}_{m_4,-j_4}(\xi_4)$ in order to produce $\iota^{(k)}_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_4}$, each blue line in Eq. (3.10) becomes $\epsilon_{\xi_1\xi_2}$, and each red line becomes $\epsilon_{\xi_3\xi_4}$. The green lines (which are symmetrized over the internal group of lines) become

$$\mathcal{N}\sum_{n_{ab}} N(n_{ab})(\epsilon_{\xi_3\xi_1})^{n_{13}}(\epsilon_{\xi_4\xi_1})^{n_{14}}(\epsilon_{\xi_2\xi_3})^{n_{23}}(\epsilon_{\xi_2\xi_4})^{n_{24}}, \tag{3.11}$$

where n_{ab} denotes the number of lines connecting external spins j_a and j_b, and $N(n_{ab})$ is the number of ways of arranging the lines for fixed values of the n_{ab}. Furthermore, $\mathcal{N}$ is an overall normalization factor (ensuring that the symmetrization is done with total weight 1), and the sum runs over those values of n_{ab} that satisfy the constraints

$$n_{13} + n_{14} = j_1 - j_2 + k, \tag{3.12}$$
$$n_{23} + n_{24} = j_2 - j_1 + k, \tag{3.13}$$
$$n_{13} + n_{23} = j_3 - j_4 + k, \tag{3.14}$$
$$n_{14} + n_{24} = j_4 - j_3 + k. \tag{3.15}$$

These conditions reduce the summation to one independent sum. Determining $N(n_{ab})$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is a straightforward combinatorial problem, and in the end we obtain the result

$$\iota^{(k)}_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_4} = \sqrt{d_k} N_{j_1 j_2 k} N_{k j_3 j_4} \frac{(\xi_1-\xi_2)^{j_1+j_2-k}(\xi_3-\xi_4)^{j_3+j_4-k} Q_k(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)}{(1+|\xi_1|^2)^{j_1}(1+|\xi_2|^2)^{j_2}(1+|\xi_3|^2)^{j_3}(1+|\xi_4|^2)^{j_4}}, \tag{3.16}$$

where the function Q_k is given by

$$Q_k(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4) = \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k+j_1-j_2}} \sum_t \binom{j_3-j_4+k}{t} \binom{j_4-j_3+k}{j_1-j_2+k-t}$$
$$\times (\xi_3-\xi_1)^t (\xi_2-\xi_4)^{j_2-j_1-j_3+j_4+t} (\xi_4-\xi_1)^{j_1-j_2+k-t} (\xi_2-\xi_3)^{j_3-j_4+k-t} \tag{3.17}$$

(the sum runs over all values of t for which the factorials in the binomial coefficients have non-negative arguments).

The method applied above to the four-valent intertwiner can, at least in principle, be extended to obtain the components of an intertwiner of arbitrary valence with respect to the coherent state basis of $\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\mathcal{H}_{j_N}$. From this it is clear, even without carrying out the calculation in detail, that the N-valent intertwiner $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$ always has the general structure

$$\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N} = \frac{P_\iota(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_N)}{(1+|\xi_1|^2)^{j_1}\cdots(1+|\xi_N|^2)^{j_N}}, \tag{3.18}$$

where the function $P_\iota(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_N)$ is a polynomial of order $2j_a$ in each variable ξ_a, and is a function of only the differences $\xi_a - \xi_b$.

3.3 Expression in terms of normal vectors

The expression (3.18) for the coherent components of an intertwiner can be rewritten in terms of the vectors $\vec{n}_a$ corresponding to the parameters ξ_a as follows. We begin by writing $\xi_a - \xi_b$ as $\xi_a(1+\check{\xi}_a\xi_b)$, where $\check{\xi}_a = -\bar{\xi}_a^{-1}$ denotes the parameter corresponding

to the vector $-\vec{n}_a$. Next, comparing Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16) for the scalar product of two coherent states, we see that

$$1 + \bar{\xi}\eta = e^{i\alpha(\vec{m},\vec{n})}\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}\sqrt{1+|\eta|^2}\sqrt{\frac{1+\vec{m}\cdot\vec{n}}{2}}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\vec{m}$ and $\vec{n}$ are the vectors corresponding to ξ and η respectively, and the phase $\alpha(\vec{m},\vec{n})$ is given by Eq. (2.17). Using this to rewrite the factor $(1+\bar{\xi}_a\xi_b)$, we obtain

$$\xi_a - \xi_b = -e^{i[\alpha(-\vec{n}_a,\vec{n}_b)-\phi_a]}\sqrt{1+|\xi_1|^2}\sqrt{1+|\xi_2|^2}\sqrt{\frac{1-\vec{n}_a\cdot\vec{n}_b}{2}}. \tag{3.20}$$

As an example, let us consider the $3j$-symbol given by Eq. (3.9). Making the replacement indicated by Eq. (3.20) in Eq. (3.9), we obtain the following expression for the components of the $3j$-symbol with respect to the state $|j_1\vec{n}_1\rangle|j_2\vec{n}_2\rangle|j_3\vec{n}_3\rangle$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \vec{n}_1 & \vec{n}_2 & \vec{n}_3 \end{pmatrix} = N_{j_1j_2j_3} e^{i(j_1+j_2-j_3)[\alpha(-\vec{n}_1,\vec{n}_2)-\phi_1]+i(j_2+j_3-j_1)[\alpha(-\vec{n}_2,\vec{n}_3)-\phi_2]+i(j_3+j_1-j_2)[\alpha(-\vec{n}_3,\vec{n}_1)-\phi_3]} \times \left(\frac{1-\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2}{2}\right)^{\frac{j_1+j_2-j_3}{2}} \left(\frac{1-\vec{n}_2\cdot\vec{n}_3}{2}\right)^{\frac{j_2+j_3-j_1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-\vec{n}_3\cdot\vec{n}_1}{2}\right)^{\frac{j_3+j_1-j_2}{2}}. \tag{3.21}$$

The norm of this object is peaked on configurations where the closure condition $j_1\vec{n}_1 + j_2\vec{n}_2 + j_3\vec{n}_3 = 0$ is satisfied, and the peak becomes sharper with increasing values of the spins j_1, j_2 and j_3. This is a special case of the result obtained by Livine and Speziale [9] on the norm of the coherent intertwiner, since according to the discussion in section 2.3, the norm of (3.21) has an alternative interpretation as the norm of the three-valent coherent intertwiner $|j_1j_2j_3;\vec{n}_1\vec{n}_2\vec{n}_3\rangle$. We nevertheless illustrate this property numerically in Figs. 1 and 2 below.

In Fig. 1, we plot the norm of (3.21) as a function of the polar angles of the vector $\vec{n}_3$, when the first two vectors are fixed as $\vec{n}_1 = (0,0,1)$ and $\vec{n}_2 = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2})$, and the spins j_1, j_2 and j_3 are equal to a common value j. We consider the values $j = 20$ and $j = 100$, and see that in both cases, the norm is peaked on $\vec{n}_3 = -\vec{n}_1 - \vec{n}_2$, corresponding to the angles $(\theta,\phi) = (\frac{2}{3}\pi, \pi)$, but the peak is sharper in the latter case. In Fig. 2, we show the norm of (3.21) when $j_1 = j_2 = 50$ and $j_3 = 86 \approx 50\sqrt{2}$, and we have fixed $\vec{n}_1 = (1,0,0)$ and $\vec{n}_2 = (0,1,0)$. The norm is peaked on the approximately closed configuration $\vec{n}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1,-1,0)$, corresponding to the angles $(\theta,\phi) = (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{5}{4}\pi)$.

3.4 Raising and lowering indices in the coherent-state basis

Before concluding this section, we must discuss how indices of intertwiners are raised and lowered in the basis of coherent states. This is necessary for a consistent contraction of indices in an equation such as (2.38). In the basis of magnetic indices, indices are raised and lowered using the epsilon tensor; for example,

$$\iota^{m_1}{}_{m_2\cdots m_N} = \epsilon^{(j_1)m_1n_1}\iota_{n_1m_2\cdots m_N}. \tag{3.22}$$

To derive the rule for raising an index in the coherent-state basis, we can compute the component of

$$\sum_{m_1\cdots m_N} \iota^{m_1}{}_{m_2\cdots m_N} \langle j_1m_1| \otimes |j_2m_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |j_Nm_N\rangle \tag{3.23}$$



Figure 1: The norm of the $3j$-symbol (3.21) as a function of the polar angles of the vector $\vec{n}_3$. The spins j_1, j_2 and j_3 are all equal to a common value j, and the first two vectors are fixed to $\vec{n}_1 = (0, 0, 1)$ and $\vec{n}_2 = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2})$. In the upper diagram $j = 20$, and in the lower diagram $j = 100$. In both cases, the norm is peaked on the angles $(\theta, \phi) = (\frac{2}{3}\pi, \pi)$, corresponding to the closed configuration $\vec{n}_3 = (-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) = -\vec{n}_1 - \vec{n}_2$, but the peak is sharper when the spin j is larger.



Figure 2: The norm of (3.21) as a function of the polar angles of $\vec{n}_3$ when $j_1 = j_2 = 50$ and $j_3 = 86 \approx 50\sqrt{2}$. The maximum occurs at the angles $(\theta, \phi) = (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{5}{4}\pi)$, corresponding to the vector $\vec{n}_3 = (-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$. Therefore the norm is peaked on the approximately closed configuration $\vec{n}_1 + \vec{n}_2 + \sqrt{2}\vec{n}_3 = 0$.

with respect to the state $\langle j_1\xi_1| \otimes |j_2\xi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |j_N\xi_N\rangle$, or more directly consider the integral

$$\iota^{\xi_1}{}_{\xi_2\cdots\xi_N} = \int d\mu_{j_1}(\eta_1)\, \epsilon^{(j_1)\xi_1\eta_1} \iota_{\eta_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_N}, \tag{3.24}$$

which is Eq. (3.22) translated to the coherent-state basis. The coherent components of epsilon can be found, for example, by computing the projection of the state $|\epsilon\rangle = \sum_{mn} \epsilon^{(j)}_{mn} |jm\rangle|jn\rangle$ onto the basis element $|j\xi\rangle|j\eta\rangle$, or by noting that $\epsilon^{(j)}_{mn} = \sqrt{d_j} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j & j & 0 \\ m & n & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and using Eq. (3.9). One finds

$$\epsilon^{(j)}_{\xi\eta} = \frac{(\xi - \eta)^{2j}}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^j (1 + |\eta|^2)^j} \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$\epsilon^{(j)\xi\eta} = \overline{\epsilon^{(j)}_{\xi\eta}}. \tag{3.26}$$

The integral (3.24) can then be evaluated using the integration formula (4.5), derived in the next section. The result is

$$\iota^{\xi_1}{}_{\xi_2\cdots\xi_N} = \frac{\bar{\xi}_1^{2j_1} P_\iota(-\bar{\xi}_1^{-1}, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_N)}{(1 + |\xi_1|^2)^{j_1} \cdots (1 + |\xi_N|^2)^{j_N}}. \tag{3.27}$$

This can alternatively be written as

$$\iota^{\xi_1}{}_{\xi_2\cdots\xi_N} = \frac{P_\iota(-\bar{\xi}_1^{-1}, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_N)}{(1 + |-\bar{\xi}_1^{-1}|^2)^{j_1} \cdots (1 + |\xi_N|^2)^{j_N}}, \tag{3.28}$$

which shows that, when the intertwiner is described in terms of the vectors corresponding to the parameters ξ, then raising an index ξ_a is equivalent to replacing the corresponding vector $\vec{n}_a$ with $-\vec{n}_a$.

4 A polynomial representation of intertwiners

In the previous section we found that the components of an intertwiner with respect to the coherent state basis of $\mathcal{H}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j_N}$ have the general form

$$\iota_{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_N} = \frac{P_\iota(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N)}{(1+|\xi_1|^2)^{j_1} \cdots (1+|\xi_N|^2)^{j_N}}. \tag{4.1}$$

In this section we will show that the polynomial $P_\iota(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N)$ can itself be regarded as a representation of the intertwiner ι. In section 4.1 we describe a representation of $SU(2)$ in the space $\mathcal{P}_j$, the space of polynomials of degree $2j$ of a single complex variable. Then, in section 4.2 we show that the action of an angular momentum operator on the intertwiner ι results in an action on the polynomial $P_\iota(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N)$ by a differential operator representing the angular momentum operator in the space $\mathcal{P}_j$. From this we conclude that $P_\iota(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N)$, regarded as an element of $\mathcal{P}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}_{j_N}$, is the representation of the intertwiner $|\iota\rangle$.

4.1 Representation of $SU(2)$ in a space of complex polynomials

A convenient realization of the spin-j representation of $SU(2)$ can be constructed on the space of polynomials of degree $2j$ in a complex variable z (see e.g. [19]). We denote this space by $\mathcal{P}_j$; a general element of $\mathcal{P}_j$ has the form $f^{(j)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{2j} c_k z^k$. A scalar product on $\mathcal{P}_j$ can be defined as

$$\langle f^{(j)} | g^{(j)} \rangle = \int d\nu_j(z)\, \overline{f^{(j)}(z)} g^{(j)}(z), \tag{4.2}$$

where the integration measure is

$$d\nu_j(z) = \frac{d_j}{\pi} \frac{d^2 z}{(1+|z|^2)^{2j+2}} = \frac{d\mu_j(z)}{(1+|z|^2)^{2j}} \tag{4.3}$$

with $d\mu_j(z)$ the measure of Eq. (2.14). A basis of $\mathcal{P}_j$, orthonormal under the scalar product (4.2), is given by the monomials

$$f_m^{(j)}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{(2j)!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} z^{j+m} \tag{4.4}$$

for $m = -j, -j+1, \ldots, j$. An immediate consequence of the orthonormality of the functions (4.4) is the useful identity

$$\int d\nu_j(\xi)\, (1+\bar{\xi} z)^{2j} f^{(j)}(\xi) = f^{(j)}(z), \tag{4.5}$$

valid for all functions $f^{(j)} \in \mathcal{P}_j$.

A representation of $SU(2)$ on $\mathcal{P}_j$ is obtained by defining the action of the group element g of Eq. (A.1) on a function $f^{(j)}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_j$ to be

$$D^{(j)}(g)f^{(j)}(z) = (\beta z + \bar{\alpha})^{2j} f^{(j)}\left(\frac{\alpha z - \bar{\beta}}{\beta z + \bar{\alpha}}\right). \tag{4.6}$$

In fact, this definition extends to the group $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$; for $h = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$, the assignment

$$D^{(j)}(h)f^{(j)}(z) = (bz + d)^{2j} f^{(j)}\left(\frac{az + c}{bz + d}\right) \tag{4.7}$$

defines a representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{P}_j$.

To find the representation of the angular momentum operator on $\mathcal{P}_j$, we can use Eq. (4.7) and the explicit matrix representation of $\vec{J}$ in the spin-1/2 representation (see Eq. (A.7)) to calculate, for example

$$e^{\epsilon J_+} f^{(j)}(z) = (1 + \epsilon z)^{2j} f^{(j)}\left(\frac{z}{1 + \epsilon z}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Expanding both sides to first order in ϵ then reveals that

$$J_+ = -z^2 \frac{d}{dz} + 2jz. \tag{4.9}$$

By similar calculations one finds

$$J_0 = z\frac{d}{dz} - j \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$J_- = \frac{d}{dz}. \tag{4.11}$$

It is immediate to check that the function $f_m^{(j)}$ of Eq. (4.4) is an eigenstate of J_0 with eigenvalue m, and hence corresponds to the state $|jm\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_j$ in standard physics notation.

For reference, let us write down the angular momentum coherent state $|j\xi\rangle = D^{(j)}(\xi)|j, -j\rangle$ as an element of $\mathcal{P}_j$. Using Eqs. (2.12) and (4.7), and noting that the state $|j, -j\rangle$ corresponds to the element $f_{-j}^{(j)}(z) = 1$ of $\mathcal{P}_j$, we find

$$f_\xi^{(j)}(z) = \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^j}(1 + \bar{\xi}z)^{2j}. \tag{4.12}$$

The polynomial representing the unnormalized coherent state $|j\xi)$ of Eq. (2.18) is simply $(1 + \bar{\xi}z)^{2j}$. In this notation, the integration formula (4.5) is expressed as

$$\int d\nu_j(\xi)\, (j\xi|jz) f^{(j)}(\xi) = f^{(j)}(z). \tag{4.13}$$

Let us also note that the matrix elements of the angular momentum operator between the states $|j\xi)$, computed in section 2.2, can now be written as

$$(j\xi|J_\mu|j\eta) = J_\mu(\bar{\xi})(j\xi|j\eta), \tag{4.14}$$

where $J_\mu(\bar{\xi})$ $(\mu = +, 0, -)$ denotes any of the differential operators of Eqs. (4.9)–(4.11) acting on the variable $\bar{\xi}$.

4.2 Intertwiners as elements of $\mathcal{P}_j$

We will now show how a polynomial representation of the intertwiner $|\iota\rangle$ can be extracted from the explicit expression of $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$. The component of the intertwiner $|\iota\rangle$ with respect to the basis state $|j_1\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N\xi_N\rangle$ is given by the complex conjugate of the object $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$ of Eq. (3.18). Therefore, $|\iota\rangle$ can be expanded in the basis of coherent states as

$$|\iota\rangle = \int d\mu_{j_1}(\xi_1)\cdots d\mu_{j_N}(\xi_N)\,\overline{\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}}\,|j_1\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N\xi_N\rangle. \tag{4.15}$$

For the sake of clarity, let us first consider the action of a single angular momentum operator, acting on the first spin of the state $|\iota\rangle$. Projecting the resulting state on the basis element $\langle j_1\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N\eta_N|$, inserting the form of $\iota_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N}$ given by Eq. (3.18), and introducing the unnormalized states $|j\xi)$ from Eq. (2.18) and the measure $d\nu_j(\xi)$ from Eq. (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle j_1\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N\eta_N|J^{(1)}_\mu|\iota\rangle &= \frac{1}{(1+|\eta_1|^2)^{j_1}\cdots(1+|\eta_N|^2)^{j_N}}\\ \times \int d\nu_{j_1}(\xi_1)\,\cdots d\nu_{j_N}(\xi_N)\,&P_\iota(\bar\xi_1,\ldots,\bar\xi_N)\,(j_1\eta_1|J_\mu|j_1\xi_1)(j_2\eta_2|j_2\xi_2)\cdots(j_N\eta_N|j_N\xi_N).\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

To perform the integral, we first recall that $(j_1\eta_1|J^{(1)}_\mu|j_1\xi_1) = J_\mu(\bar\eta_1)(j_1\eta_1|j_1\xi_1)$, and then write $(j\eta|j\xi) = (j\bar\xi|j\bar\eta)$. This allows us to evaluate the integral using Eq. (4.13); the result is $J_\mu(\bar\eta_1)P(\bar\eta_1,\cdots,\bar\eta_N)$. We therefore conclude

$$\langle j_1\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N\eta_N|J^{(1)}_\mu|\iota\rangle = \frac{J_\mu(\bar\eta_1)P_\iota(\bar\eta_1,\ldots,\bar\eta_N)}{(1+|\eta_1|^2)^{j_1}\cdots(1+|\eta_N|^2)^{j_N}}. \tag{4.17}$$

By a similar calculation we can show that for any operator $\mathcal{O}(J^{(1)},\cdots,J^{(N)})$, constructed from the angular momentum operators by a power series expansion, we have[5]

$$\langle j_1\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N\xi_N|\mathcal{O}(J^{(1)},\cdots,J^{(N)})|\iota\rangle = \frac{\mathcal{O}\big(J(\bar\xi_1),\cdots,J(\bar\xi_N)\big)P_\iota(\bar\xi_1,\ldots,\bar\xi_N)}{(1+|\xi_1|^2)^{j_1}\cdots(1+|\xi_N|^2)^{j_N}}. \tag{4.18}$$

That is, the action of the angular momentum operator on the state $|\iota\rangle$ translates to an action on the polynomial $P_\iota(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_N)$ by the differential-operator representation of the angular momentum operator on the space $\mathcal{P}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{P}_{j_N}$. This confirms that we can consistently interpret $P_\iota(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_N)$ as the element of $\mathcal{P}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{P}_{j_N}$ corresponding to the intertwiner $|\iota\rangle$. In this description of the intertwiner, the variable ξ_a is no longer regarded as a parameter specifying an unit vector $\vec n_a$, but only as an abstract variable, whose first $2j_a$ powers span the space $\mathcal{P}_{j_a}$.

5 Application to calculations in LQG

As a concerete example of applying the techniques developed in the previous sections to calculations in loop quantum gravity, let us consider the operator

$$C_{ab} = \epsilon_{ijk}\,\mathrm{Tr}^{(l)}\big(\tau_k h_{\alpha_{ab}}\big)J^{(a)}_i J^{(b)}_j, \tag{5.1}$$

[5] As indicated by Eq. (4.15), we consider an intertwiner whose all indices are lower indices. For each upper index of the intertwiner, the corresponding angular momentum operator $J^{(a)}_m$ should be replaced with $(J^{(a)}\mu)^\dagger = J^{(a)}_{-\mu}$ in $\mathcal{O}(J^{(1)},\cdots,J^{(N)})$ in Eq. (4.18).

which is used to construct the physical Hamiltonian in a model of loop quantum gravity deparametrized with respect to a free scalar field. (For more details, see [4].) The operator C_{ab} acts on a pair of edges e_a and e_b sharing a node in a spin network state, the two angular momentum operators $J_i^{(a)}$ and $J_j^{(b)}$ acting on the holonomies associated with the two edges. Furthermore, $h_{\alpha_{ab}}$ denotes a holonomy around a loop α_{ab}, which is attached to the graph of the spin network and is tangent to, but does not overlap with, the two edges e_a and e_b. Finally, $\tau_k = -i\sigma_k/2$ denotes the anti-Hermitian generators of $SU(2)$.

Therefore, at the level of intertwiners described in the standard basis of magnetic indices, the action of the operator C_{ab} is to replace the original intertwiner $\iota_{m_1\cdots m_N}$ at the node with a new intertwiner $(C_{ab}\iota)_{m_1\cdots m_N n_1 n_2}$. The two new indices of the new intertwiner are in the end contracted with the representation matrix $D^{(l)}_{n_1 n_2}(h_{\alpha_{ab}})$ of the holonomy around the loop α_{ab}.

The action of the operator C_{ab} on an intertwiner in the coherent-state basis can be found by evaluating the object

$$(C_{ab}\iota)_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N\eta_1\eta_2} \equiv \langle \epsilon_{ijk}(\tau_k^{(l)})_{\eta_1\eta_2} J_i^{(a)} J_j^{(b)}\, \iota | j_1\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_N\xi_N\rangle, \tag{5.2}$$

where the indices η_1 and η_2 are eventually contracted with the indices of the representation matrix $D^{(l)}_{\eta_1\eta_2}(h_{\alpha_{ab}})$ by the integral $\int d\mu_l(\eta_1)\, d\mu_l(\eta_2)$. On grounds of Eq. (4.18), we obtain from Eq. (5.2)

$$(C_{ab}\iota)_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_N\eta_1\eta_2} = \frac{(C_{ab}P_\iota)(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_N,\eta_1,\eta_2)}{(1+|\xi_1|^2)^{j_1}\cdots(1+|\xi_N|^2)^{j_N}(1+|\eta_1|^2)^l(1+|\eta_2|^2)^l}, \tag{5.3}$$

where $(C_{ab}P_\iota)(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_N,\eta_1,\eta_2)$ is a polynomial which represents the new intertwiner as an element of $\mathcal{P}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{P}_{j_N}\otimes\mathcal{P}_l\otimes\mathcal{P}_l$. An expression for $C_{ab}P_\iota$ can be derived by using Eqs. (4.9)–(4.11) for the angular momentum operators, and the explicit form of the matrix elements $(\tau_k^{(l)})_{\eta_1\eta_2} = -\frac{i}{2}\langle l\eta_1|J_k|l\eta_2\rangle$ given by Eqs. (2.25)–(2.27). Introducing the abbreviations $\partial_a = \partial/\partial\xi_a$ and $\partial^2_{ab} = \partial^2/\partial\xi_a\partial\xi_b$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(C_{ab}P_\iota)(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_N,\eta_1,\eta_2) &= \frac{l}{2}(1+\eta_1\bar\eta_2)^{2l-1}\Big[\eta_1\Big((\xi_a-\xi_b)\partial^2_{ab} + j_b\partial_a - j_a\partial_b\Big)\\
&+\bar\eta_2\Big(-\xi_a\xi_b(\xi_a-\xi_b)\partial^2_{ab} + j_b\xi_a(\xi_a-2\xi_b)\partial_a - j_a\xi_b(\xi_b-2\xi_a)\partial_b - 2j_aj_b(\xi_a-\xi_b)\Big)\\
&+(\eta_1\bar\eta_2-1)\Big(\tfrac{1}{2}(\xi_a^2-\xi_b^2)\partial^2_{ab} + j_b\xi_b\partial_a - j_a\xi_a\partial_b\Big)\Big]P_\iota(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

On a general level, we cannot make Eqs. (5.3) and (5.4) more explicit, since we lack a general expression for the polynomial $P_\iota(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_N)$. Let us therefore specialize to the case of computing the action of the operator C_{12} on a three-valent intertwiner. In this case, the explicit form of $P_\iota(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)$ can be read off from Eq. (3.9) as

$$P_\iota(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) = (\xi_1-\xi_2)^{j_1+j_2-j_3}(\xi_2-\xi_3)^{j_2+j_3-j_1}(\xi_3-\xi_1)^{j_3+j_1-j_2}. \tag{5.5}$$

Introducing the notation $\xi_{ab} = \xi_a - \xi_b$ and $k_a = j_1 + j_2 + j_3 - 2j_a$, it is convenient to

write the derivatives appearing in Eq. (5.4) as

$$\partial_1 P_\iota(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) = \left(\frac{k_3}{\xi_{12}} - \frac{k_2}{\xi_{31}}\right) P_\iota(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3), \tag{5.6}$$

$$\partial_2 P_\iota(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) = \left(\frac{k_1}{\xi_{23}} - \frac{k_3}{\xi_{12}}\right) P_\iota(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$\partial_{12} P_\iota(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) = \left(-\frac{k_3^2-k^2}{\xi_{12}^2} - \frac{k_1 k_2}{\xi_{23}\xi_{31}} + \frac{k_2}{k_3}\xi_{31}\xi_{12} + \frac{k_3 k_1}{\xi_{12}\xi_{23}}\right) P_\iota(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3). \tag{5.8}$$

This suggests to express the components of the intertwiner $C_{12\iota}$, given by Eq. (5.3), by factoring out the component of the original intertwiner, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We can also separate the factor $(1+\eta_1\bar{\eta}_2)^{2l}/(1+|\eta_1|^2)^l(1+|\eta_2|^2)^l = \langle l\eta_2|l\eta_1\rangle$, which, up to a phase, is equal to $[\frac{1}{2}(1+\vec{n}_{\eta_1}\cdot\vec{n}_{\eta_2})]^l$. In this way, we can write the components of the new intertwiner in the form

$$(C_{ab\iota})_{\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2} = F(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\eta_1,\eta_2)\left(\frac{1+\vec{n}_{\eta_1}\cdot\vec{n}_{\eta_2}}{2}\right)^l \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.9}$$

where $\vec{n}_{\eta_a}$ denotes the vector corresponding to the parameter η_a, and $F(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\eta_1,\eta_2)$ is a function which can be determined using Eqs. (5.4) and (5.6)–(5.8).

In the limit of large spins, the expression (5.9) admits the following interpretation in terms of the vectors corresponding to the parameters ξ_a and η_a. As discussed in section 3.3, in the large-j limit the $3j$-symbol in Eq. (5.9) is suppressed unless the vectors $\vec{n}_a$ corresponding to the parameters ξ_a satisfy the closure condition $j_1\vec{n}_1 + j_2\vec{n}_2 + j_3\vec{n}_3 = 0$. Similarly, the factor $[\frac{1}{2}(1+\vec{n}_{\eta_1}\cdot\vec{n}_{\eta_2})]^l$ forces the two vectors $\vec{n}_{\eta_1}$ and $\vec{n}_{\eta_2}$ to be parallel to each other, when the value of l is large. Assuming that the vectors $j_a\vec{n}_a$ close, and that $\vec{n}_{\eta_1} = \vec{n}_{\eta_2} \equiv \vec{n}_\eta$, we can look at the function F to determine the preferred orientation of the vector $\vec{n}_\eta$ relative to the vectors $\vec{n}_a$.

As a first example, let us examine the case where the spins j_1, j_2 and j_3 are equal to a common value j. If we fix $\vec{n}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3},0,-1)$, $\vec{n}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(-\sqrt{3},0,-1)$ and $\vec{n}_3 = (0,0,1)$, we find that the absolute value of the function F is given in terms of the polar angles of the vector $\vec{n}_\eta$ as

$$|F(\theta_\eta,\phi_\eta)| = \sqrt{3}j^2 l\Big(|\sin\theta_\eta \sin\phi_\eta| + \mathcal{O}(j^{-1})\Big). \tag{5.10}$$

This function is evidently maximized when $\theta_\eta = \pi/2$, and $\phi_\eta = \pi/2$ or $\phi_\eta = 3\pi/2$, i.e. when $\vec{n}_\eta = (0,\pm 1,0)$. In other words, the preferred orientation of the vector $\vec{n}_\eta$ is orthogonal to the plane spanned by the vectors $\vec{n}_1$, $\vec{n}_2$ and $\vec{n}_3$. This conclusion is independent of the values of the spins j and l, since up to subleading terms the absolute value $|F(\theta_\eta,\phi_\eta)|$ depends on j and l only through an overall multiplicative factor.

As another example we give the case $j_1 = j_2 = j$ and $j_3 \approx \sqrt{2}j$. To satisfy the closure condition of the vectors $\vec{n}_a$, we now fix $\vec{n}_1 = (0,0,1)$, $\vec{n}_2 = (1,0,0)$ and $\vec{n}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1,0,-1)$. Now the explicit expression of $|F(\theta_\eta,\phi_\eta)|$ is unenlightening, so we show a numerical plot of $|F(\theta_\eta,\phi_\eta)|/j^2 l$ (which is independent of j^2 and l at leading order) in Fig. 3 below. The plot indicates that the preferred orientation of the vectors $\vec{n}_\eta$ is still perpendicular to the plane of the vectors $\vec{n}_1$, $\vec{n}_2$ and $\vec{n}_3$.



Figure 3: A plot of the function $|F(\theta_\eta, \phi_\eta)|/j^2 l$, when the spins of the three-valent intertwiner ι are given by $j_1 = j_2 = j$ and $j_3 \approx \sqrt{2}j$. The function $F(\theta_\eta, \phi_\eta)$ determines the preferred orientation of the vectors $\vec{n}_{\eta_1} = \vec{n}_{\eta_2} \equiv \vec{n}_\eta$, created by the action of the operator C_{12} on the intertwiner ι. The maxima of the function $|F(\theta_\eta, \phi_\eta)|$ are located at $(\theta_\eta, \phi_\eta) = (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ and $(\theta_\eta, \phi_\eta) = (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{3}{2}\pi)$. Both points correspond to the vector $\vec{n}_\eta$ orthogonal to the plane of the vectors $\vec{n}_1$, $\vec{n}_2$ and $\vec{n}_3$.

6 Conclusions

In this work we studied intertwiners projected onto the basis of angular momentum coherent states. The relevance of our calculations to loop quantum gravity is twofold. Firstly, the object $\iota_{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_N}$, defined in Eq. (3.1) and given in explicit form in Eqs. (3.9) and (3.16) in the case of three- and four-valent intertwiners, provides an expression for the components of the Livine–Speziale coherent intertwiner $|j_1 \cdots j_N; \xi_1 \cdots \xi_N\rangle$ with respect to the standard basis $\{|\iota\rangle\}$ of the intertwiner space. Secondly, and more importantly, by rewriting the spin network states of loop quantum gravity in terms of intertwiners projected on coherent states, operators such as the Hamiltonian can be reformulated as differential operators acting on polynomials of the complex variables ξ_a.

The primary motivation for our work is to introduce an alternative approach towards analyzing the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian operator, in which the use of $SU(2)$ recoupling theory is bypassed. By rewriting the spin network states and the Hamiltonian in the language of the complex numbers ξ_a, and by providing explicit expressions for the projections of intertwiners on coherent states, we make it possible to describe the action of the Hamiltonian geometrically, in terms of the vectors corresponding to the parameters ξ_a. This was illustrated by the example in section 5, where we considered (the Euclidean part of) the physical Hamiltonian of a deparametrized model of loop quantum gravity [4]. We computed the action of the operator on a three-valent intertwiner, and

found that the preferred orientation of the two new vectors created by the Hamiltonian is orthogonal to the plane containing the three vectors of the original intertwiner.

Our expectation is that the techniques presented in this work will be useful for gaining a more controlled analytical understanding of the Hamiltonian in loop quantum gravity, and eventually for studying the semiclassical limit of the dynamics through an asymptotic analysis of the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian (e.g. via saddle point techniques). However, the scope of the present paper is merely to show that the coherent states of angular momentum can be used to develop an alternative framework for performing calculations with the Hamiltonian. Carrying out a systematic analysis of the dynamics and obtaining a clear understanding of the geometrical content of the Hamiltonian in this framework is a question left for future work.

Acknowledgments

The work of EA and JL was supported by the grant of Polish Narodowe Centrum Nauki nr DEC-2011/02/A/ST2/00300. EA wishes to acknowledge the John Templeton Foundation for the supporting grant #51876. IM would like to thank the Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation for support.

A Selected facts about $SU(2)$

In this appendix we recall some results from $SU(2)$ representation theory, which will be needed in the main text.

A.1 Parametrizations of $SU(2)$

A general element $g \in SU(2)$ has the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{where} \quad |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1. \tag{A.1}$$

The group element can be parametrized in terms of an angle χ and an axis of rotation $\vec{n}$ as

$$g = e^{-i\chi \vec{n}\cdot\vec{J}} = \cos\frac{\chi}{2} - i\sin\frac{\chi}{2}(\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}), \tag{A.2}$$

where $\vec{J} = \vec{\sigma}/2$ is the angular momentum operator for $j = 1/2$, and $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ are the Pauli matrices.

Further parametrizations of the group element can be obtained using the operators $J_\pm = J_x \pm iJ_y$ and $J_0 = J_z$. One has the decompositions

$$g = e^{\lambda J_-} e^{\mu J_0} e^{\nu J_+} \tag{A.3}$$

and

$$g = e^{\xi J_+} e^{\eta J_0} e^{\zeta J_-} \tag{A.4}$$

where the parameters are given by

$$e^{\mu/2} = \alpha \qquad \lambda = -\frac{\bar{\beta}}{\alpha} \qquad \nu = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \tag{A.5}$$

and

$$e^{-\eta/2} = \bar{\alpha} \qquad \xi = \frac{\beta}{\bar{\alpha}} \qquad \zeta = -\frac{\bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}}. \tag{A.6}$$

The relations (A.3) and (A.4) can be verified by direct calculation in the fundamental representation of the group, using an explicit matrix representation for the angular momentum operators[6]. Note that in general, none of the matrices on the right-hand side of Eqs. (A.3) and (A.4) are elements of $SU(2)$, though they do belong $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

A.2 The spin-j representation as a symmetric tensor product

The fundamental (spin-1/2) representation of $SU(2)$ is realized by letting the matrix $g = g^A{}_B$ of Eq. (A.1) act on the space $\mathcal{H}_{1/2} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ spanned by the vectors v^A, the index A taking the two values $A = +, -$. A realization of the spin-j representation that is sometimes useful is obtained by considering the space $\mathcal{H}_j$ as the completely symmetric part of the tensor product of $2j$ copies of the space $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_j$ is spanned by the $d_j = 2j+1$ vectors $v^{(A_1\cdots A_{2j})} \equiv v^m$; the correspondence between the completely symmetric index $(A_1\cdots A_{2j})$ and the magnetic index m typically used in the physics literature is given by $m = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2j} A_i$. The representation of an element $g \in SU(2)$ on the space $\mathcal{H}$ is then given by

$$D^{(j)}_{mn}(g) = D^{(j)}_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j})(B_1\cdots B_{2j})}(g) = g^{A_1}{}_{(B_1}\cdots g^{A_{2j}}{}_{B_{2j})}. \tag{A.8}$$

Intertwiners – i.e. invariant tensors on $\mathcal{H}_{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{j_N}$ – are constructed using the tensor ϵ_{AB}, which is the only invariant tensor in the fundamental representation[7]. For example, the three-valent intertwiner between representations j_1, j_2 and j_3 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\iota^{(j_1j_2j_3)}_{m_1m_2m_3} &= \iota_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j_1})(B_1\cdots B_{2j_2})(C_1\cdots C_{2j_3})} \\ &= N_{j_1j_2j_3}\epsilon_{A_1B_1}\cdots\epsilon_{A_aB_a}\epsilon_{B_{a+1}C_1}\cdots\epsilon_{B_{a+b}C_b}\epsilon_{C_{b+1}A_{a+1}}\cdots\epsilon_{C_{b+c}A_{a+c}},\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

where a complete symmetrization of the indices $(A_1\cdots A_{2j_1})$, $(B_1\cdots B_{2j_2})$ and $(C_1\cdots C_{2j_3})$ is implied on the right-hand side. The numbers a, b and c are given by

$$a = j_1 + j_2 - j_3, \qquad b = j_2 + j_3 - j_1, \qquad c = j_3 + j_1 - j_2, \tag{A.11}$$

and the normalization factor is

$$N_{j_1j_2j_3} = \sqrt{\frac{(2j_1)!(2j_2)!(2j_3)!}{(j_1+j_2+j_3+1)!(j_1+j_2-j_3)!(j_2+j_3-j_1)!(j_3+j_1-j_2)!}}. \tag{A.12}$$

[6]The standard representation of $\vec{J}$ is given in the basis in which J_z is diagonal, and reads

$$J_x = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad J_y = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0 & -i\\ i & 0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad J_z = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.7}$$

[7]Together with δ^A_B and ϵ^{AB}, which are obtained by raising one or both indices of ϵ_{AB}. Indices are raised and lowered according to the conventions

$$v^A = \epsilon^{AB}v_B, \qquad v_A = v^B\epsilon_{BA}. \tag{A.9}$$

Intertwiners of higher valence can be constructed by contraction of three-valent intertwiners. For example, an orthonormal basis in the four-valent intertwiner space between representations j_1, j_2 j_3 and j_4 is given by the objects

$$\iota^{(k)}_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j_1})\cdots(D_1\cdots D_{2j_4})} = \sqrt{d_k}\,\iota_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j_1})(B_1\cdots B_{2j_2})(E_1\cdots E_{2k})}\,\iota^{(E_1\cdots E_{2k})}{}_{(C_1\cdots C_{2j_3})(D_1\cdots D_{2j_4})}. \tag{A.13}$$

The three-valent intertwiner (A.10) can be represented graphically by introducing a notation in which

- ϵ_{AB} or ϵ^{AB} is represented by a line with an arrow pointing from index A to B;
- $\delta^A_B = \epsilon^{AC}\epsilon_{BC}$ is represented by a line with no arrow;
- Contraction of an index is represented by connecting two lines at the contracted index.

Then we can write

$$\iota_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j_1})(B_1\cdots B_{2j_2})(C_1\cdots C_{2j_3})} = N_{j_1j_2j_3}$$



(A.14)

where each external group of lines is understood to be completely symmetrized. In this notation, the four-valent intertwiner (A.13) is given by

$$\iota^{(k)}_{(A_1\cdots A_{2j_1})\cdots(D_1\cdots D_{2j_4})} = \sqrt{d_k}N_{j_1j_2k}N_{kj_3j_4}$$



(A.15)

where we draw the vertical bar to indicate explicitly a complete symmetrization of the internal group of lines.

B A polynomial calculation of $\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}$

The complex polynomial representation of $SU(2)$, described in section 4.1, provides an alternative way of calculating the components of the $3j$-symbol in the basis of coherent

states. In this appendix we will perform the calculation, following a similar calculation carried out in [23] for the Clebsch–Gordan coefficient. In this way we provide both another example of using the polynomial formalism, and an alternative derivation of Eq. (3.9).

As defined in Eq. (3.2), the coherent components of the $3j$-symbol are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} D^{(j_1)}_{m_1,-j_1}(\xi_1) D^{(j_2)}_{m_2,-j_2}(\xi_2) D^{(j_3)}_{m_3,-j_3}(\xi_3). \tag{B.1}$$

Using the $SU(2)$ invariance of the standard $3j$-symbol, this can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{m_1 m_2} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & -j_3 \end{pmatrix} D^{(j_1)}_{m_1,-j_1}(\xi_3^{-1}\xi_1) D^{(j_2)}_{m_2,-j_2}(\xi_3^{-1}\xi_2). \tag{B.2}$$

Computing the products of two coherent state rotations by means of Eqs. (2.28) and (2.30), and defining the state

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = \sum_{m_1 m_2} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & -j_3 \end{pmatrix} |j_1 m_1\rangle |j_2 m_2\rangle, \tag{B.3}$$

we can write Eq. (B.2) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = e^{-ij_1\beta_{13} - ij_2\beta_{23}} \langle \Phi_0 | j_1 \xi_{13} \otimes j_2 \xi_{23} \rangle \tag{B.4}$$

where

$$\xi_{13} = \frac{\xi_1 - \xi_3}{1 + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_3}, \qquad e^{i\beta_{13}/2} = \frac{1 + \bar{\xi}_1 \xi_3}{|1 + \bar{\xi}_1 \xi_3|} \tag{B.5}$$

(and the same for ξ_{23} and β_{23}). Transforming the $3j$-symbol in Eq. (B.3) into a Clebsch–Gordan coefficient, we see that

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = \frac{(-1)^{j_1 - j_2 + j_3}}{\sqrt{d_{j_3}}} \sum_{m_1 m_2} C^{j_1 j_2 j_3}_{m_1 m_2 j_3} |j_1 m_1\rangle |j_2 m_2\rangle = \frac{(-1)^{j_1 - j_2 + j_3}}{\sqrt{d_{j_3}}} |j_1 j_2; j_3 j_3\rangle \tag{B.6}$$

i.e. up to a numerical factor, $|\Phi_0\rangle$ is the state of total spin j_3 and maximal magnetic number $m_3 = j_3$, constructed from spins j_1 and j_2.

Our aim now is to compute the scalar product $\langle \Phi_0 | j_1 \xi_{13} \otimes j_2 \xi_{23} \rangle$ in Eq. (B.4) using the polynomial representation of section 4.1, considering $|\Phi_0\rangle$ and $|j_1 \xi_{13} \otimes j_2 \xi_{23}\rangle$ as polynomials in the space $\mathcal{P}_{j_1} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{j_2}$. The coherent states are given in polynomial form by Eq. (4.12). To find the polynomial representation of the state $|\Phi_0\rangle$, we write the defining equations of the state $|j_1 j_2, j_3 j_3\rangle$, $J_+ |j_1 j_2, j_3 j_3\rangle = 0$ and $J_0 |j_1 j_2, j_3 j_3\rangle = j_3 |j_1 j_2, j_3 j_3\rangle$, as differential equations for a function $f_{j_3}(z_1, z_2)$ in $\mathcal{P}_{j_1} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{j_2}$, using the representation of the angular momentum operators from Eqs. (4.9)–(4.11). The equations are

$$\left(-z_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + 2j_1 z_1 - z_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + 2j_2 z_2 \right) f_{j_3}(z_1, z_2) = 0 \tag{B.7}$$

$$\left(z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - j_1 + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - j_2 \right) f_{j_3}(z_1, z_2) = j_3 f_{j_3}(z_1, z_2). \tag{B.8}$$

The solution which is an element of $\mathcal{P}_{j_1} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{j_2}$ is given by

$$f_{j_3}(z_1, z_2) = M_{j_1 j_2 j_3} z_1^{j_1 - j_2 + j_3} z_2^{j_2 - j_1 + j_3} (z_1 - z_2)^{j_1 + j_2 - j_3}. \tag{B.9}$$

The correct value of the normalization constant is $M_{j_1 j_2 j_3} = \sqrt{d_{j_3}} N_{j_1 j_2 j_3}$, with $N_{j_1 j_2 j_3}$ given by Eq. (A.12). (The phase of $M_{j_1 j_2 j_3}$ is chosen according to the Condon–Shortley convention, in which the Clebsch–Gordan coefficient $C^{j_1 j_2 j_3}_{j_1, j_3 - j_1, j_3}$ – equivalently, the coefficient of $z_1^{2j_1} z_2^{j_2 + j_3 - j_1}$ in the expansion of the function $f_{j_3}(z_1, z_2)$ – is real and positive.)

It follows that the representation of the state $|\Phi_0\rangle$ as a polynomial is given by

$$\phi_0(z_1, z_2) = N_{j_1 j_2 j_3} (-z_1)^{j_1 - j_2 + j_3} z_2^{j_2 - j_1 + j_3} (z_1 - z_2)^{j_1 + j_2 - j_3}. \tag{B.10}$$

The scalar product in Eq. (B.4) can therefore be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_0 | j_1 \xi_{13} \otimes j_2 \xi_{23} \rangle &= \int d\nu_{j_1}(z_1)\, d\nu_{j_2}(z_2)\, \overline{\phi_0(z_1, z_2)} f^{(j_1)}_{\xi_{13}}(z_1) f^{(j_2)}_{\xi_{23}}(z_2) \\ &= \frac{N_{j_1 j_2 j_3}}{(1 + |\xi_{13}|^2)^{j_1} (1 + |\xi_{23}|^2)^{j_2}} \int d\nu_{j_1}(z_1)\, d\nu_{j_2}(z_2)\, (1 + \xi_{13} z_1)^{2j_1} (1 + \xi_{23} z_2)^{2j_2} \\ &\qquad \times (-\bar{z}_1)^{j_1 - j_2 + j_3} \bar{z}_2^{j_2 - j_1 + j_3} (\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)^{j_1 + j_2 - j_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

In the integral, the function multiplying $(1 + \xi_{13} z_1)^{2j_1} (1 + \xi_{23} z_2)^{2j_2}$ is a polynomial of order $2j_a$ in each $\bar{z}_a$. Hence, after changing the variables of integration from z_1 and z_2 to $\bar{z}_1$ and $\bar{z}_2$, the integrals can be immediately evaluated using Eq. (4.5). This yields

$$\langle \Phi_0 | j_1 \xi_{13} \otimes j_2 \xi_{23} \rangle = \frac{N_{j_1 j_2 j_3}}{(1 + |\xi_{13}|^2)^{j_1} (1 + |\xi_{23}|^2)^{j_2}} (-\xi_{13})^{j_1 - j_2 + j_3} \xi_{23}^{j_2 - j_1 + j_3} (\xi_{13} - \xi_{23})^{j_1 + j_2 - j_3}. \tag{B.12}$$

When this is inserted into Eq. (B.4), and the result expressed in terms of ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 using Eq. (B.5), we recover Eq. (3.9).

References

[1] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, *Background independent quantum gravity: A status report*, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, R53 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0404018.

[2] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press (2004).

[3] T. Thiemann, *Modern canonical quantum general relativity*, Cambridge University Press (2007).

[4] E. Alesci, M. Assanioussi, J. Lewandowski and I. Mäkinen, *Hamiltonian operator for loop quantum gravity coupled to a scalar field*, Phys. Rev. D 91, 124067 (2015), arXiv:1504.02068 [gr-qc].

[5] M. Assanioussi, J. Lewandowski and I. Mäkinen, *New scalar constraint operator for loop quantum gravity*, Phys. Rev. D 92, 044042 (2015), arXiv:1506.00299 [gr-qc].

[6] E. Alesci, T. Thiemann and A. Zipfel, *Linking covariant and canonical LQG: New solutions to the Euclidean Scalar Constraint*, Phys. Rev. D 86, 024017 (2012), arXiv:1109.1290 [gr-qc].

[7] E. Alesci, K. Liegener and A. Zipfel, *Matrix elements of Lorentzian Hamiltonian constraint in loop quantum gravity*, Phys. Rev. D 88, 084043 (2013), arXiv:1306.0861 [gr-qc].

[8] A. Perez, *The Spin Foam Approach to Quantum Gravity*, Living Rev. Relativity 16, 3 (2013), arXiv:1205.2019 [gr-qc].

[9] E. Livine and S. Speziale, *A new spinfoam vertex for quantum gravity*, Phys. Rev. D 76, 084028 (2007), .

[10] F. Conrady and L. Freidel, *Quantum geometry from phase space reduction*, J. Math. Phys. 50. 123510 (2009), .

[11] L. Freidel, K. Krasnov and E. R. Livine, *Holomorphic Factorization for a Quantum Tetrahedron*, Commun. Math. Phys. 297, 45 (2010), arXiv:0905.3627 [hep-th].

[12] E. Bianchi, P. Dona and S. Speziale, *Polyhedra in loop quantum gravity*, Phys. Rev. D 83, 044035 (2011), arXiv:1009.3402 [gr-qc].

[13] L. Freidel and S. Speziale, *Twisted geometries: A geometric parametrisation of SU(2) phase space*, Phys. Rev. D 82, 084040 (2010), arXiv:1001.2748 [gr-qc].

[14] J. W. Barrett, R. J. Dowdall, W. J. Fairbairn, H. Gomes and F. Hellmann, *Asymptotic analysis of the EPRL four-simplex amplitude*, J. Math. Phys. 50, 112504 (2009), arXiv:0902.1170 [gr-qc].

[15] L. Freidel and J. Hnybida, *On the exact evaluation of spin networks*, J. Math. Phys. 54, 112301 (2013), arXiv:1201.3613 [gr-qc].

[16] L. Freidel and J. Hnybida, *A Discrete and Coherent Basis of Intertwiners*, Class. Quant. Grav. 31, 015019 (2014), arXiv:1305.3326 [math-ph].

[17] D. A. Varshalovich, A. N. Moskalev and V. K. Khersonskii, *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, World Scientific (1988).

[18] J. M. Radcliffe, *Some properties of coherent spin states*, J. Phys. A 4, 313 (1971).

[19] A. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications*, Springer (1986).

[20] C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, *Discreteness of area and volume in quantum gravity*, Nucl. Phys. B 442, 593 (1995), erratum–ibid. B 456, 753 (1995), arXiv:gr-qc/9411005.

[21] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, *Quantum Theory of Geometry II: Volume operators*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 1, 388 (1998), arXiv:gr-qc/9711031.

[22] A. Barbieri, *Quantum tetrahedra and simplicial spin networks*, Nucl. Phys. B 518, 714 (1998), arXiv:gr-qc/9707010.

[23] D. Basu and B. K. Kar, *The Clebsch–Gordan Problem of the Angular Momentum Coherent States*, Ann. Phys. 207, 71 (1991).